# The Prescribing Optimization Method is effective in medical students



University Medical Center . Utrecht

C.J.P.W. Keijsers<sup>1</sup>, A.B.D. van Doorn<sup>2</sup>, A. van Kalles<sup>1</sup>, D.J. de Wildt<sup>1</sup>, J.R.B.J. Brouwers<sup>1</sup>, P.A.F. Jansen<sup>1</sup> 1. UMC Utrecht, 2. University of Groningen, the Netherlands

#### Introduction

Although junior doctors feel unprepared for prescribing in clinical practice, evidence based education on the topic polypharmacy is lacking.<sup>1</sup> The prescribing optimization method (POM) is proven to be effective in physicians.<sup>2</sup>

## Aim

To study the effectiveness of the POM on medical students' skills in optimising polypharmacy

#### **Methods**

- RCT with pre- and post-test design
- University of Utrecht and University of Amsterdam • Within intervention group:
  - e-learningprogramme Pscribe3 (50%) or • non-e-learning (50%)
- 2 case descriptions with polypharmacy
- Instruction for students:
- "could you optimise this medication list?"
- All regular internetsources available (e.g. guidelines)



Figure 1. Study design

- · Students' results of optimisation were compared to an expert model
  - correct decisions (n)
  - potentially harmful decisions (n)
- · Analyses: repeated measurement linear model with ttests as posthoc analyses



- The Prescribing Optimization Method improves medical students skills in optimising polypharmacy:
  - A 33 % increase of correct decisions
  - A 30 % reduction of potentially harmful decisions
- The e-learning and non-e-learning environment are equally effective The method can be used without prior explanation of the
- method

### **Results**

Baseline:103 students were included: 51 from Utrecht, 52 from Amsterdam (68% female, median age 25 (23-40)).



Figure 2. Results of students' optimizations in pre- and post-test, without and with intervention (POM). \*Correct decisions p<0.05, potentially harmful decisions p<0.05

Non-e-learning and e-learning were equally effective on both correct as potentially harmful decisions (p=0.498, p=0.547 resp)

#### References

1. Drenth-van Maanen AC et al. Drugs Aging 2009;26(8):687-701 2. Keijsers CJ et al. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2012;74(5):762-73 3. Van Doorn ABD et al. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol, 2007, 105 (Suppl. 1), 53